UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,696	09/12/2003	David D. Brandt	03AB014C/ALBRP303USC	7375
Susan M. Donal	7590 03/13/200 <b>hue</b>	EXAMINER		
	nation, 704-P, IP Dep	BAUM, RONALD		
1201 South 2nd Street Milwaukee, WI 53204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2439		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/13/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/661,696	BRANDT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
RONALD BAUM	2439	

	RONALD BAUM	2439					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 02 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(iii)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k</li> <li>(a)  They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c)  They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d)  They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims.</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); eer form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying th					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.14.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	16 and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Notice of Non-Col	mpliant Amendment (I	,				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 26-29. Claim(s) objected to: 24 and 25. Claim(s) rejected: 1-9,12-17,19-21,23,30-41 and 45-47. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	l sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Kambiz Zand/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amending of claims 16, 26, 30, 39 and 41 ( and the associated dependent claims by dependency) to address the issues involved with the objected to dependent claim limitation dealing with the automatic installation of security components upon suspected security vulnerabilities (i.e., claim 24) is still absent in the proposed amendments. The 'industry standard' amended limitation per se does not patently distinguish the claims insofar as the broad interpretation of the 'industry standard' phrase could encompass anything commonly applicable to any/all electrical/electronic devices/components (e.g., UL safety, actual components manufacturing criteria, etc.,). Therefore the said claims are still not patently distinct. The examiner declines to reopen prosecution. Thus, any such claims submitted formally after final rejection would not be entered.